

INCREASING LITERACY THROUGH GRAPHIC ORGANIZERS

TE-CHART EXAMPLE

NAMES OF PARTIES AS THEY GO THROUGH THE LEGAL PROCESS

STUDENTS OFTEN GET CONFUSED WHEN LEARNING TO BRIEF CASES REGARDING TO WHOM THE JUDGE IS REFERENCING. FOR EXAMPLE IF THE CASE IS SMITH V. JONES SMITH CAN BE PLAINTIFF, APPELLEE AND RESPONDENT OR APPELLANT OR PETITIONER DEPENDING ON THE OUTCOME OF EACH COURT DECISION.

Plaintiff Party Bringing Action in a Civil Case	Defendant Party Against Whom a case is brought in a civil or criminal case
Appellant Lost lower court ruling	Appellee Won lower Court ruling
Petitioner Lost at court of appeals	Respondent Won Court of Appeals Ruling

ORGANIZERS

SCIENTISTS WHO CONTRIBUTED TO CELL THEORY

THIS CHART DEMONSTRATES CONCEPT MAPPING OF INFORMATION. STUDENTS CAN BRIDGE ANY NECESSARY GAPS IN THE WRITING PROCESS AS THIS ORGANIZER CREATES A VISUAL OF EACH THEME OR ELEMENT OF CELL THEORY BEING DISCUSSED. AS THE MAIN IDEA IS SCIENTISTS THAT CONTRIBUTED TO CELL THEORY, THIS STUDENTS WILL BE ABLE TO ORGANIZE THOUGHTS AND IDEAS AND EVEN TRANSFER INTO A ROUGH OUTLINE IN WHICH EACH DOMAIN CAN SIGNIFY A NUMBER AND STUDENTS CAN CREATE HEADINGS WITH EACH DOMAIN, ALSO UTILIZING THE DETAILS OF EACH THEME AND CONCEPT AS ROUGH OUTLINES, TO BEGIN THE WRITING.



VIN DIAGRAM EXAMPLE

WHEN A CASE GOES TO FEDERAL OR STATE COURT

GRAPHIC HELPS STUDENTS TO UNDERSTAND WHY AND WHEN AND UNDER WHICH CIRCUMSTANCES, AND WHICH IS LEGAL SUBJECT MATTER IS A STATE OR FEDERAL COURT VERY HAVE JURISDICTION.

THINK OF OVERLAPPING JURISDICTION WHERE STATE AND FEDERAL COURTS HAVE JURISDICTION IN THE MATTER AND ANOTHER DIFFERENT STATES THAT HAVE UNIVERSITY OF JURISDICTION.

